

T2.36 - Functionality Criteria

The threshold score, below which tenderers are eliminated from further consideration is 60 points

CLINICS

TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

The weighting for Functionality is as follows:

Evaluation Criteria	Deliverables	Points	Sub-Points	Sub-Criteria	
1. Financial Standing	Submit copies of Audited Financial Statements, for the past two (2) Financial Years (2021 & 2022 financial years), clearly reflecting the following : i) Liquidity - ability to settle short-term debt ii) Debt Management/Insolvency = Percentage of total assets provided by creditors iii) Profitability = measure of profitability independent of enterprise's financing and tax position and iv) Financial Stability /Sustainability & Going Concern	20 Points		Sub-points	Audited Financial Statements; for the past two (2) Financial Years (2021 & 2022 financial years), clearly reflecting:
			5		i) Liquidity - ability to settle short-term debt - Acid Test Ratio = Current Assets (exc inventory)/Current Liability = not less than 50%
			5		ii) Debt Management/Insolvency = Percentage of total assets provided by creditors - Total Debt/Total Assets recommended maximum of 60% Debt & 40% Equity
			5		iii) Profitability = measure of profitability independent of enterprise's financing and tax position - Operating margin = EBIT/Sales recommended minimum of 20% threshold
			5		iv) Financial Stability /Sustainability & Going Concern - Net Asset Value (NAV) - positive recommended threshold of NAV/Total Assets minimum of 20%
2. Competency, Experience and Resource Capacity	Tenderer to demonstrate their technical competency, human resource capacity and relevant project experience. Letters of award to be attached and practical completion certificate for completed projects in the preceding 7 years	25 Points	25	Sub-points	Schedule of experience on 4 or more general building projects (CIDB grading values of 6GB and over) – letters of award and practical completion certificates to be attached for projects completed in the preceding 7 years
			10	Sub-points	Schedule of experience on 3 or more general building projects of similar value (CIDB grading values of 6GB and over) – letters of award and practical completion certificates to be attached for projects completed in the preceding 7 years
			0	Sub-points	No relevant experience in building projects of similar value in the preceding 7 years or requested documents not provided
		15 Points	15	Sub-points	Schedule of experience on 2 or more general building projects of similar value in the Health Sector (CIDB grading values of 6GB and over) – letters of award and practical completion certificates to be attached for projects completed in the preceding 7 years
			5	Sub-points	Schedule of experience on 1 or more general building projects of similar value in the Health Sector (CIDB grading values of 6GB and over) – letters of award and practical completion certificates to be attached for projects completed in the preceding 7 years
			0	Sub-points	No relevant experience in Health Projects of similar value in the preceding 7 years or requested documents not provided
3. Tenderer's Project Management Structure and Organogram and Experience of Resources Proposed for the Project	Tenderer to submit a detailed project organogram that sets out the roles and responsibilities of each proposed team member, which is backed up by their curriculum vitae that demonstrates extensive experience	10 Points	10	Sub-points	Submission of a detailed organogram detailing technical key resources forming part of the project along with their responsibilities.
		15 Points	15	Sub-points	All key project resources have more than (8) years' experience in the construction industry. Resources are to include but not limited to Contracts Manager/Site Agent, Site Foreman including an individual with a Quantity Surveying background
			5	Sub-points	All key project resources have more than (6) years' experience in the construction industry. Resources are to include but not limited to Contracts Manager/Site Agent, Site Foreman including an individual with a Quantity Surveying background
			0	Sub-points	No submission provided or submission does not comply with conditions stated
		15 Points	15	Sub-points	Submission of detailed CVs with traceable references of all key resources (2 points awarded per CV up to a maximum of 10 points)
			0	Sub-points	No submission provided or CV lacks sufficient detail in terms of experience and qualifications

TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS

Evaluation Criteria	Deliverables	Points	
Price	The lowest responsive and responsible priced offer shall be allocated 80 points. All other responsive and responsible offers shall be allocated a prorated point value based on the lowest responsive and responsible priced offer.	80	Points
Specific Goals	<p>The points allocated to each tenderer for Specific Goals. In this regard, the points score for this criteria for each tenderer, shall be determined as follows:</p> <p>- full points(20 points) to companies who are at least 51% Owned by Black People</p>	20	Points