Neonatal Encephalopathy Audit

It is an established practice that all stillbirths and neonatal deaths are audited at the facility where the delivery has taken place

In addition all cases where the infant survives but is diagnosed as having neonatal encephalopathy must be audited. These are the cases which often result in litigation against the Department of Health years later.

The following steps are recommended for conducting an audit of a neonatal encephalopathy (NE) case:

- There must be assessment of the antenatal, intrapartum and neonatal care
- In facilities where the obstetric care and the neonatal care are managed by different departments, then there should be a joint process of audit where both departments review and discuss the case together
- Available information must be collected to ensure an accurate account of the details of the case:
 - The medical and nursing staff involved in the care of the patient, particularly the intrapartum care (including the delivery) and early neonatal care, must provide verbal or written accounts of what happened
 - The clinical case records (including maternity case record, neonatal records and all investigation results including scan reports, CTGs and lab tests) must be reviewed and secured for future reference
 - The baby's mother (who will probably still be an in-patient or a "boarder" mother) should be interviewed to obtain her perspective on what happened and how she and her baby were managed during her admission, particularly during labour and just after delivery
- The review of the case should involve a senior member of both medical and nursing staff from the obstetric and neonatal units at the facility to ensure there is adequate insight in interpreting the case
- The audit should attempt to identify the most likely cause of the NE
- The audit must identify any obvious avoidable factors that could have contributed to the NE.
 Such factors can be classified as patient behaviour-related, and health service-related. The health service-related factors can further be classified as either administrative, or health care worker-related.
- Where avoidable factors are identified, particularly health service-related factors, there
 should be an action plan drawn up to address these factors, with a view to eliminating these
 factors in future cases and thereby preventing similar cases of morbidity from occurring.

- Once the audit has been conducted, and there is consensus about the likely cause of the NE
 and about any avoidable factors, then a meeting should be held with the mother of the baby
 and any other appropriate family members to explain what has happened to the baby.
 Before an audit has been conducted, care should be taken in communicating with the
 mother, so as not to give speculative or wrong information which will later have to be
 retracted.
- There must be follow up of the action plan to ensure that the actions are in fact
 implemented. A follow up report can be given at the monthly perinatal mortality meeting.
 To ensure that the action plan is followed through, it is recommended that one member of
 the audit team is given the responsibility of keeping track of progress with the action plan
 and reporting back on it.
- A summary of the audit for each case, including the action plan follow up, must be documented and kept for future reference.
- The following attached documents will facilitate the audit process:
 - Guide to review of obstetric risk factors and care in cases of NE
 - Encephalopathy clinical audit: Neonatal

Guide to review of obstetric risk factors and care in cases of NE

Information required in this form case notes, ward registers, or from	•		the staff who managed the case, from the or other family members:
Name of baby:		_ DOB:	Birth weight:
Singleton or twin?I	f a twin, w	hat type of tw	in pregnancy
Place of birth:		_Mode of deliv	ery:
Best estimate of gestational age a	at birth:		According to:
Apgar score 1min5min	10min	15min	20min
Outcome of neonate: ENND / LN	ND/ Disch	narged/Transfe	rred out
Maternal details			
Name of mother:		Cas	e number
Age: Parity:	Booke	ed: Yes No	
In auditing a neonatal encephalop be relevant to determining the ca	-	· ·	nt to assess the following factors which may ephalopathy
Factor	√orx or?	Comments	
Smoker			
Alcohol use			
Other recreational drug use			
List prescribed drugs used in pregnancy			
List non-prescribed medications used in pregnancy including herbal medications			
Known HIV positive before pregnancy			
HIV infection diagnosed during this pregnancy			

Syphilis test positive during	
pregnancy	
List and made madinfortions	
List any maternal infections	
during pregnancy (e.g UTI,	
gastro enteritis, "flu", skin rash,	
vulval ulcers or warts, vaginal	
discharge, TB, chest infections,	
unexplained pyrexia, etc)	
Features of intra-uterine	
infection (eg persistent lower	
abdominal pain without other	
cause)	
Maternal malnutrition (MILAC	
Maternal malnutrition (MUAC	
<23cm)	
Obesity	
Obesity	
Diabetes	
Hypertension (list category)	
Maternal anaemia (list lowest	
Hb level)	
Pre-labour rupture of	
membranes (ROM) at term >12	
hours	
Pre-term Pre-labour ROM	
Any possible antenatal sentinel	
event (eg maternal collapse,	
antepartum haemorrhage)	
12-12-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-	
Evidence of IUGR	
Polyhydramnios	
Any fetal anomalies detected	
on scan	
Word fatal management	
Were fetal movements	
monitored by the mother after	
28 weeks? Were they good?	
Was there any evidence of fetal	

distress before labour?	
Was the active phase of labour	
prolonged (1 st stage)?	
Was the second stage of labour	
prolonged?	
Was the fetal heart checked	
every 2 hours in the latent	
phase of labour?	
Was the fetal heart checked	
every 30 minutes during the	
active phase of labour?	
Was the fetal heart checked	
after every second contraction	
in the 2 nd stage of labour?	
Did the fetal heart rate	
monitoring in labour suggest	
fetal distress? If so, when?	
Was the liquor meconium	
stained? If so thickly or thinly?	
Was the liquor offensive	
smelling?	
List any drugs administered to	
the mother during labour	
Was the delivery difficult,	
either vaginally or at CS?	
Was there a cord around the	
neck at delivery? If so, was it	
tight?	
Was there any sentinel event	
during labour (eg. cord	
prolapse, rupture of the uterus,	
abruptio, shoulder dystocia,	
high spinal anesthetic)	
Were there any congenital	
abnormalities evident at birth	

Were there any fetal/neonatal		
injuries evident at birth?		
Were there any obvious		
umbilical cord or placental		
abnormalities evident at birth?		
Summary of case (briefly narrat	e details of the case)	

Neonatal Details

Use the "Encephalopathy Clinical Audit – Neonatal" form to evaluate your management of the neonate and to document avoidable factors in the neonatal care.

ist Avoidable factors that could have contributed to the encephalopathy						

MODIFIABLE FACTORS IDENTIFIED Following audit in	dentify any modifiable factors present:		
MODIFIABLE FACTOR	PRESENT		
ADMINISTRATION ASSOCIATED	•		
Inadequate facility or equipment in neonatal unit/nursery	/		
Neonatal ICU bed unavailable			
Lack of neonatal transport			
Other-Stipulate:			
MEDICAL PERSONNEL ASSOCIATED			
Neonatal resuscitation inadequate			
Neonatal care: Inadequate assessment of SARNAT/THOMPSON scores			
Neonatal care: Poor determination of diagnosis			
Neonatal care: Inadequate management plan for seizures			
Neonatal care: Inadequate management plan for respiratory support			
Neonatal care: Inadequate management plan for fluid management			
Neonatal care: Inadequate monitoring			
Delay in referring patient to tertiary or regional facility			
Other-Stipulate:			
Was this encephalopathy avoidable?			

<u>Likely Cause of NNE</u> (circle the appropriate option and provide details)

This should be determined after joint assessment and discussion of the case by the obstetric and neonatal teams, taking into account obstetric factors (see list above) and neonatal findings

Παι	al teams, taking into account obstetric factors (see list above) and neonatal infulligs
•	HIE (labour-related):

- CNS anomalies/ genetic syndrome:
- Vascular:

Infections:

- Metabolic:
- Neonatal abstinence syndrome
- Other:

Avoidability (this assessment should only be done after the joint discussion between obstetric and neonatal teams). This refers to the care the health service provided, not to patient-behaviourrelated factors. Choose one of the options below

- 1. There was no substandard care. The neonatal encephalopathy could not have been avoided.
- **2.** There was substandard care but this did not impact on the neonatal outcome. The neonatal encephalopathy could not have been avoided.
- 3. There was substandard care which might have impacted on the neonatal outcome. The neonatal encephalopathy could possibly have been avoided, or its severity reduced
- 4. There was substandard care which definitely impacted on the neonatal outcome. The neonatal encephalopathy could definitely have been avoided, or its severity reduced.

Action Plan

Action plan follow-up to be done and presented at next perinatal meeting by: _____

Action	Responsible	Time	Follow up
	person	frame	